shared object is the version that includes the most recent revisions that are synchronized with the shared object and made available to other authorized users.

[0042] For example, a user may revise a content container of a shared object that is identified as revision R1 by adding outline element node 370 to outline node 344 (as shown in revision R3). Revision R3 is stored in the shared object. Revision R3 is also assigned a time stamp and a GUID (e.g., GUID-3) to uniquely identify the revised content container. Revision R3 is an extension of revision R1. Thus, revision R1 is the latest version of the shared object that the user was aware of (e.g., the locally stored version). The shared object is inspected to determine whether the latest version of the shared object is still revision R1. In one embodiment, the latest version of the shared object may be determined by comparing time stamps and GUIDs of different content containers. If the latest version of the shared object is associated with a more recent time stamp than revision R1 then another user (e.g., the user who created revision R2) has subsequently modified the same content container.

[0043] If another user has modified the same content container since revision R1 was synchronized with the shared object, any revisions that are an extension of revision R1 (e.g., revision R3) may not be synchronized with the shared object until any subsequent revisions are synchronized with the shared object and any conflicting revisions are resolved and merged. For example, revision R2 is synchronized with the shared object after revision R1. Thus, the latest version of the shared object includes revision R2. Before revision R3 is synchronized with the shared object, revision R3 is compared to revision R2 to determine if any revisions conflict. The comparison is necessary because revision R3 is an extension of revision R1 which is no longer associated with the latest version of the shared object. Revision R3 is determined to not conflict with revision R2 because outline element node 370 can be added to outline node 344 without disrupting revision R2.

[0044] In one embodiment, the shared object is revised by moving a content container from one location to another within the shared object. For example, table node 340 may be moved from page node 330 to page node 335. A determination is made that table node 340 has been moved but the new location cannot be determined. A proxy node is created at the original location of table node 340. The proxy node is implemented at the new location of table node 340 when the new location of table node 340 is determined. If table node 340 is deleted before the new location is determined, the proxy node is discarded.

[0045] Different users may simultaneously edit the shared object. Usually, the users are revising different content containers of the shared object. Thus, each user's revisions may be synchronized with the shared object without further processing. A conflict may occur when two users edit the same content container of the shared object (e.g., the same table values, the same sentence). A conflict between different user revisions may result asynchronously. For example, a user may revise a locally cached version of the shared object when not connected to a server. The revisions are synchronized with the shared object when the user reconnects to the server. However, the revisions may conflict with other revisions that have already been synchronized with the shared object.

[0046] For example, revision R4 is an extension of revision R3. Revision R4 deletes outline element node 350 from outline node 344. The latest version of the shared object is determined to include revision R2. A comparison between revision R2 and revision R4 identifies a conflict because outline element node 350 is present in revision R2 but has been deleted in revision R4.

[0047] A three-way merge is performed between a master version of a content container and two divergent versions of the content container to resolve the conflicts. For example, content container R0 (i.e., the master version), revision R2, and revision R4 are merged to establish the current version of the shared object. The master version of a content container may be the version that was last synchronized with the shared object on the server. The master version includes non-conflicting revisions.

[0048] The conflicting content containers are reconciled and merged into the shared object by following a set of rules established by a merge algorithm. The merge algorithm determines which revisions are synchronized with the shared object. For example, different users may be ranked according to priority such that one user's revisions take precedence over all other users (i.e., primary edits). When a lower priority user attempts to revise a content container of the shared object that has already been revised by a higher priority user, the user is informed that the revisions (i.e., secondary edits) will not be synchronized the shared object. Thus, the primary edits are displayed on a master page of the shared object and any secondary edits are flagged as not being synchronized with the shared object.

[0049] In another example, revisions made to a shared object on a server have priority over revisions made locally on a client. The server copy of the shared object is deemed the master version because many different users have potentially accessed and revised the shared object on the server. Only one user has accessed and revised a locally stored version. Revised content containers that are not synchronized with the shared object (e.g., secondary edits) are identified as conflicting. The conflicting content containers are preserved by being stored on conflict pages associated with the corresponding master page of the shared object.

[0050] FIG. 4 illustrates a master page of a shared object and an associated conflict page. Master page 400 includes non-conflicting revisions such as content containers 410, 420. Any unmerged conflicting revisions are identified on master page 400 by a conflict indicator. In one embodiment, the conflict indicator is drop down menu 430. The first entry of drop down menu 430 may be the most recent conflicts generated by the user. The entry of drop down menu 430 may include the user's name and a corresponding time stamp. Another entry in drop down menu 430 may include other conflict pages that the user generated but did not reconcile. Other entries in drop down menu 430 may correspond to conflict pages generated by other users. Selecting an entry from drop down menu 430 displays the corresponding conflict page with the conflicting revisions highlighted to draw the user's attention to the revisions that were not merged in the master version of the shared object. Thus, the user may either reconcile and merge the conflicts with master page 400 or decide that the conflicts are irrelevant.

[0051] In another embodiment, the conflict indicator is a tab. The master page may be associated with tab 440.